

6

1 MARC A. LEVINSON (STATE BAR NO. 57613)
malevinson@orrick.com
 2 NORMAN C. HILE (STATE BAR NO. 57299)
nhile@orrick.com
 3 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
 400 Capitol Mall, Suite 3000
 4 Sacramento, California 95814-4497
 Telephone: +1-916-447-9200
 5 Facsimile: +1-916-329-4900

6 JEFFERY D. HERMANN (STATE BAR NO. 90445)
jhermann@orrick.com
 7 JOHN A. FARMER (STATE BAR NO. 242775)
jfarmer@orrick.com
 8 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
 777 South Figueroa Street, Suite 3200
 9 Los Angeles, California 90017-5855
 Telephone: +1-213-629-2020
 10 Facsimile: +1-213-612-2499

11 Attorneys for Defendant
 City of Stockton, California

12 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
 13 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 14 SACRAMENTO DIVISION

16 In re:
 17 CITY OF STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA,
 18 Debtor.

Case No. 12-32118
 Chapter 9
 Adv. No. 13-02315

20 WELLS FARGO BANK, NATIONAL
 ASSOCIATION, FRANKLIN HIGH YIELD
 21 TAX-FREE INCOME FUND, AND
 FRANKLIN CALIFORNIA HIGH YIELD
 22 MUNICIPAL FUND

**NOTICE OF UPLOADING OF FORM
 OF JUDGMENT**

23 Plaintiffs,
 v.
 24 CITY OF STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA,
 25 Defendant.

26
 27
 28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on November 18, 2014, the City of Stockton, California (“City”), debtor in the above-captioned case and defendant in the above-captioned adversary proceeding, uploaded a form of judgment (“Form of Judgment”) in the above-captioned adversary proceeding.¹ A copy of the Form of Judgment is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

On November 11, 2014, the City circulated a draft of the Form of Judgment to counsel for Franklin, as well as to counsel for the various creditors who appeared at the October 1 and October 30 confirmation hearings. Counsel for Franklin suggested revisions, and the Form of Judgment incorporates all of the revisions suggested by Franklin. One of Franklin’s revisions has been slightly modified: Where Franklin’s revisions referenced the “interest” in each component of the Golf Course/Park Properties, the City added “possessory” before “interest,” to make clear that the interests are possessory in nature.

Dated: November 18, 2014

MARC A. LEVINSON
NORMAN C. HILE
PATRICK B. BOCASH
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP

By /s/ Marc A. Levinson
MARC A. LEVINSON
Attorneys for Debtor
City of Stockton

¹ Unless otherwise defined herein, capitalized terms used herein shall have the meaning ascribed to such terms in the First Amended Plan for the Adjustment of Debts of City of Stockton, California, As Modified (August 8, 2014) [Dkt. No. 1645].

Exhibit 1

3

1 MARC A. LEVINSON (STATE BAR NO. 57613)
malevinson@orrick.com
 2 NORMAN C. HILE (STATE BAR NO. 57299)
nhile@orrick.com
 3 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
 400 Capitol Mall, Suite 3000
 4 Sacramento, California 95814-4497
 Telephone: +1-916-447-9200
 5 Facsimile: +1-916-329-4900

6 JEFFERY D. HERMANN (STATE BAR NO. 90445)
jhermann@orrick.com
 7 JOHN A. FARMER (STATE BAR NO. 242775)
jfarmer@orrick.com
 8 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
 777 South Figueroa Street, Suite 3200
 9 Los Angeles, California 90017-5855
 Telephone: +1-213-629-2020
 10 Facsimile: +1-213-612-2499

11 Attorneys for Defendant
 City of Stockton, California

12 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
 13 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 14 SACRAMENTO DIVISION

16 In re:
 17 CITY OF STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA,
 18 Debtor.

Case No. 12-32118
 Chapter 9
 Adv. No. 13-02315

20 WELLS FARGO BANK, NATIONAL
 ASSOCIATION, FRANKLIN HIGH YIELD
 21 TAX-FREE INCOME FUND, AND
 FRANKLIN CALIFORNIA HIGH YIELD
 22 MUNICIPAL FUND
 23 Plaintiffs,
 v.
 24 CITY OF STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA,
 25 Defendant.

JUDGMENT

1 Plaintiffs Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as indenture trustee for the
2 \$35,080,000 Stockton Public Financing Authority Lease Revenue Bonds, 2009 Series A, Franklin
3 High Yield Tax-Free Income Fund and Franklin California High Yield Municipal Fund
4 (collectively, "Plaintiffs") filed a Complaint for Declaratory Relief (the "Complaint") on October
5 14, 2013 [Adv. Dkt. No. 1] against the City of Stockton, California ("City"), debtor in the above-
6 captioned case and defendant in the above-captioned adversary proceeding.¹

7 On March 27, 2014, the City filed its Motion for Judgment to Be Entered in Favor of
8 Plaintiffs [Adv. Dkt. No. 28].

9 On April 21, 2014, the Court entered a Partial Judgment in Favor of Plaintiffs [Adv. Dkt.
10 No. 56] ("Partial Judgment"), which disposed of Counts One and Five of the Complaint.

11 Pursuant to Paragraph 6 of the Partial Judgment, Counts Two, Three and Four of the
12 Complaint (the "Remaining Counts") were tried in conjunction with the evidentiary hearing
13 conducted on Franklin's objections to confirmation of the Plan. The evidentiary hearing was
14 conducted on May 12 through 15, and June 4, 2014.

15 The Court orally rendered its decision on the Remaining Counts at a hearing on July 8,
16 2014, making oral findings of fact and conclusions of law pursuant to Rule 7052. To the extent
17 any of those findings of fact constitute conclusions of law, they are adopted as such. To the
18 extent any of those conclusions of law constitute findings of fact, they are adopted as such.

19 Having considered the various pleadings filed in this adversary proceeding, having heard
20 the testimony adduced and the evidence presented at the evidentiary hearing, having heard the
21 arguments of counsel, and having made its findings of fact and conclusions of law at the July 8,
22 2014, hearing, the Court determines that it is appropriate to enter judgment on the Remaining
23 Counts in the form, content and manner set forth herein.

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ¹ Unless otherwise defined herein, capitalized terms used herein shall have the meaning ascribed to such terms in the
28 First Amended Plan for the Adjustment of Debts of City of Stockton, California, As Modified (August 8, 2014) [Dkt.
No. 1645] (the "Plan"). References to "Rules" shall refer to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure.

1 Based on the foregoing,

2 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:**

3 1. On Counts Two and Three of the Complaint, and pursuant to the Partial Judgment,
4 the Golf Course/Park Claims are Allowed in the aggregate amount of \$36,603,626. The Allowed
5 Golf Course/Park Claims consist of the Golf Course/Park Secured Claim and the Golf
6 Course/Park Unsecured Claim. The Golf Course/Park Secured Claim is secured by a valid,
7 perfected and enforceable security interest in and lien on the possessory interest in the Golf
8 Course/Park Properties through July 1, 2053 (the "Collateral").

9 2. On Count Four of the Complaint, the value of the Collateral is \$4,052,000, with
10 the following component values: (a) possessory interest in the Swenson Golf Course:
11 \$1,572,500; (b) possessory interest in the Van Buskirk Golf Course: \$658,750; (c) possessory
12 interest in the Van Buskirk Community Center: \$1,600,000; and (d) possessory interest in Oak
13 Park: \$221,000. Accordingly, the Allowed amount of the Golf Course/Park Secured Claim is
14 \$4,052,000, and the Allowed amount of the Golf Course/Park Unsecured Claim is \$32,551,626.

15 3. The Partial Judgment remains in full force and effect.

16 4. The parties shall bear their own costs.

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28