## Case 12-32118 Filed 07/18/13 Doc 1021

1	Ron M. Oliner (SBN: 152373)		
2	Geoffrey A. Heaton (SBN: 206990) <b>DUANE MORRIS</b> LLP		
3	One Market Plaza		
4	Spear Street Tower, Suite 2200 San Francisco, CA 94105-1127		
5	Telephone: (415) 957-3000 Facsimile: (415) 957-3001		
6	Email: roliner@duanemorris.com		
7	Attorneys for PRESTON PIPELINES, INC.		
8			
9	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT		
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	SACRAMENT	TO DIVISION	
12	In re	Case No. 12-32118-C-9	
13	CITY OF STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA,	Chapter 9	
13	Debtor.	Docket Control No. DM-1	
15		Date: August 20, 2013 Time: 9:30 a.m.	
16		Place: 501 I Street, Courtroom 35 Sacramento, CA 95814	
17		Judge: The Honorable Christopher M. Klein	
18	DECLADATION OF DON BIANCH	INI IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR	
19	DECLARATION OF RON BIANCHINI IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM THE AUTOMATIC STAY BY PRESTON PIPELINES, INC.		
20	I, Ron Bianchini, declare as follows:		
21	1. I am Chief Operating Officer of	Preston Pipelines, Inc. ("PPI"). I know of the	
22	following of my own personal knowledge and could and would testify competently thereto if		
23	called upon to do so. As to any matters stated on information and belief, I believe my information		
24	to be true and correct and will so testify.		
25		in or about November of 2005. Steelsten City	
26	2. I am informed and believe that in or about November of 2005, Stockton City		
27	Council ("Council") approved the City of Stockt	ton's ("City") Delta Water Supply Water Project	
28	("Water Project").		
DUANE MORRIS LLP SAN FRANCISCO	DM3\2601410.1 R2024/00001 1  DECLAPATION IN SUPPORT OF MOTION E	OR RELIEF FROM THE AUTOMATIC STAY	

BY PRESTON PIPELINES, INC. – CASE NO. 12-32118-C-9

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

3. The Water Project included, among other things, the construction of the	e Delta
Water Supply Project Intake and Pump Station Facility ("Pump Station") to divert water f	rom the
San Joaquin River through miles of underground pipeline to a new water treatment	ıt plan
providing approximately 33 million gallons per day of treated, potable water.	

- 4. I am informed and believe that the City financed the Water Project by issuing a series of Water Revenue Bonds.
- 5. I am informed and believe that among the Water Revenue Bonds issued was a series of bonds designated the \$55,000,000 Stockton Public Financing Authority Variable Rate Demand Water Revenue Bonds, Series 2010A (Delta Water Supply Project) (the "2010 Bonds").
- 6. I am informed and believe that the 2010 Bonds were issued specifically to fund the Pump Station and certain other designated components of the Water Project.
- 7. In the spring of 2009, the City solicited prime contractors for construction of the Pump Station.
- 8. PPI was one of the prime contractors the City solicited for construction of the Pump Station.
- 9. A selection committee comprised of City staff and others vetted the responses submitted, and narrowed the pool of contractors to PPI and a few other entities, who in turn submitted sealed bids for the Pump Station project.
- 10. In Council Resolution No. 09-0293, passed August 25, 2009, the City accepted PPI's bid, in the amount of \$16,156,000, and awarded PPI the contract for construction of the Pump Station.
- 11. I am informed and believe that Council Resolution No. 09-0293 directs payment to PPI from funds in the City's Delta Water Supply Project Account ("Water Project Account").

DM3\2601410.1 R2024/00001

28

- 12. On August 25, 2009, the City and PPI entered into a Construction Contract ("Contract") for construction of the Pump Station. A true and correct copy of the Contract is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.
- 13. The Contract provides for payment to PPI in the amount of \$16,156,000, before adjustments for change orders.
- 14. Among other terms, the Contract required the City to issue change orders when PPI performed extra work, encountered conditions that differed from those shown in the contract documents or that reasonably could have been anticipated, or when PPI incurred costs which otherwise were the responsibility of the City.
- 15. During PPI's performance the amount of the Contract was adjusted by written change orders totaling approximately \$423,916.59.
- 16. In addition to the work and costs specified in the change orders, PPI performed extra work, and incurred related costs, for which it is entitled to change orders under the Contract.
- 17. This extra work was necessitated by deficiencies in the City's plans, designs, information, and specifications for the Pump Station, as well as by differing site conditions and changes in the project schedule for the Pump Station.
- 18. Accounting for the extra work and costs for which the City should have issued change orders but did not, PPI is entitled to an estimated \$1,178,008.80, inclusive of amounts owed to PPI for its own work, as well as for labor, equipment, materials, and services furnished through PPI by subcontractors and suppliers, together with an additional \$1,255,392 for delay, inefficiencies, escalation, and similar deficiencies.
- 19. In addition to the above damages, the City also failed to make progress payments under the Contract that are undisputed, totaling not less than \$320,000, and failed to allow retention totaling not less than \$1,657,000 to be released from escrow.

DM3\2601410.1 R2024/00001

DUANE MORRIS LLP