CAUTION: This email originated from outside the City of Stockton. Do not click any links or open attachments if this is unsolicited email.

-------- Forwarded message --------
From: TheFemaleMarine <xochitl.paderes@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, Sep 7, 2021 at 6:53 PM
Subject: Redistricting websiting
To: Eliza Garza <Eliza.Garza@stocktonca.gov>, <Allison.Lambertson@stocktonca.gov>

Eliza,

I might have misunderstood during the last meeting, but I thought the redistricting website was up and running. Please advise if I missed it on this page:


Also, please advise when the information requested regarding the 9 councils, adding 2 new districts, the population growth, and the recommendations of the previous commission will be available.

Xochitl

--
ck

“I prefer to be true to myself, even at the hazard of incurring the ridicule of others, rather than to be false, and to incur my own abhorrence.” Frederick Douglass
Allison,

Thank you for the quick response. Please advise if the website is completed and if notifications to the public were made. I also haven't seen any outreach events, were we still doing those? Additionally I was hoping there would be a timeline of collecting the information I requested. Is it possible to have that available by close of business September 27th? If not, please advise what the constraints are to prohibit the turn around.

Your kindness and support is greatly appreciated,

Xochitl Paderes

On Tue, Sep 14, 2021 at 11:53 AM Allison Lambertson <Allison.Lambertson@stocktonca.gov> wrote:

Good Morning,

We have not yet rescheduled the joint meeting, as Council still needs to receive the same informational meeting information that the Redistricting Advisory Commission has received.

We will reach out to the Commission to schedule future dates.

Thanks,
From: TheFemaleMarine <xochitl.paderes@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 14, 2021 11:45 AM
To: Allison Lambertson <Allison.Lambertson@stocktonca.gov>
Cc: CC_Office <CC_Office@stocktonca.gov>
Subject: Re: City Council - Redistricting Informational Meeting - Rescheduled

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the City of Stockton. Do not click any links or open attachments if this is unsolicited email.

Please advise when the meeting was rescheduled to. I have not received a notification of the rescheduled meeting date.

Xochitl Paderes

On Fri, Sep 3, 2021 at 4:41 PM Allison Lambertson <Allison.Lambertson@stocktonca.gov> wrote:

Good Afternoon,

We wanted to let you know that the September 7, 2021 City Council Special Redistricting meeting is being rescheduled.
We will keep you informed as soon as we have a new date.

Thank you,

Allison K. Lambertson, Senior Deputy City Clerk
City of Stockton, Office of the City Clerk
425 N. El Dorado Street, Stockton CA 95202
Office: 209.937.7121

“I prefer to be true to myself, even at the hazard of incurring the ridicule of others, rather than to be false, and to incur my own abhorrence.” Frederick Douglass
Eliza,

I would like an opportunity to speak to the Teal map that is to be discussed at tonight's meeting. I would like to speak as the Chair and separately during public comment. How can that be made possible?

Xochitl Paderes

--
ck

“I prefer to be true to myself, even at the hazard of incurring the ridicule of others, rather than to be false, and to incur my own abhorrence.” Frederick Douglass
--- Forwarded message ---
From: TheFemaleMarine <xoichit.paderes@gmail.com>
Date: Fri, Dec 3, 2021 at 9:45 AM
Subject: Fwd: DistrictR Map 76670
To: Christina Fugazi <ckfugazi@gmail.com>

--- Forwarded message ---
From: Shalice Tilton <stilton@ndcresearch.com>
Date: Tue, Nov 9, 2021 at 12:14 PM
Subject: Re: DistrictR Map 76670
To: Sol Jobrack <Sol.Jobrack@stocktonca.gov>
Cc: Eliza Garza <Eliza.Garza@stocktonca.gov>

Good Afternoon Councilman Jobrack:

Attached is your map 77722. Indeed it is population balanced and contiguous. And I will include it in the presentation tomorrow.

For comparison, here are the Citizen Voting Age Population breakdowns for both map 777222 and the Green Annex 2 map. For the City of Stockton, the focus percentage will be the Hispanic Citizen Voting Age Population. Your District 5 is at 50% and District 6 at 47%. In comparison, the Green Annex 2 map has both Districts 5 and 6 at 51%.

If you wish to discuss, I can be reached at 714-308-0726

Shalice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City of Stockton - Map 77722</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dist</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pop</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
On Tue, Nov 9, 2021 at 6:37 AM Sol Jobrack <Sol.Jobrack@stocktonca.gov> wrote:
https://districtr.org/plan/77722

Get Outlook for iOS

From: Sol Jobrack <Sol.Jobrack@stocktonca.gov>
Sent: Monday, November 8, 2021 9:43:17 PM
To: Shalice Tilton <stilton@ndcresearch.com>
Subject: Re: DistrictR Map 76670

I just saw what you were talking about. I’m working on another map that keeps D-1 and splits right under it east west for D-2 and d3. If I have any luck considering your recommendations I will forward you another map.

Sol
Get Outlook for iOS

From: Shalice Tilton <stilton@ndcresearch.com>
Sent: Sunday, November 7, 2021 1:07:34 PM
To: Sol Jobrack <Sol.Jobrack@stocktonca.gov>
Cc: Eliza Garza <Eliza.Garza@stocktonca.gov>
Subject: DistrictR Map 76670

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the City of Stockton. Do not click any links or open attachments if this is unsolicited email.

Good Afternoon Councilman Jobrack:

Thank you for your thoughtful map submission. There were a few minor non-contiguous areas that were easily resolved; however, there is a major remaining non-contiguous area along Thornton Rd., south of Davis Rd. at the slough that is unresolvable without moving your seat from District 1 to District 2. The challenges are 1) areas that meet at a single point are not contiguous, and 2) the census block that would correct the problem is oddly shaped (outlined in dark orange), and this is the census block of your residence. We must use the census-block geography provided by

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dist</th>
<th>Pop</th>
<th>Population Dev</th>
<th>% Dev</th>
<th>Citizen Voting Age Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>53,501</td>
<td>(223)</td>
<td>-0.42%</td>
<td>Hisp 26% NH White 16% NH Black 13% Asian/Pac Isl 43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>54,117</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>0.73%</td>
<td>29% 42% 12% 15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>54,528</td>
<td>804</td>
<td>1.50%</td>
<td>25% 36% 11% 25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>55,781</td>
<td>2,057</td>
<td>3.83%</td>
<td>29% 38% 14% 16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>51,705</td>
<td>(2,019)</td>
<td>-3.76%</td>
<td>51% 18% 16% 14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>52,711</td>
<td>(1,013)</td>
<td>-1.89%</td>
<td>51% 11% 19% 18%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the U.S. Census. The adjacent unincorporated area creates further restrictions. Would you like to proceed with your map submission? It would be identified as "Non-Contiguous."

I am working on maps today (Sunday) if you are available for a phone call. Otherwise, I am available tomorrow (Monday). I also have some additional observations about the map. My cell is (714) 308-0726.

Election Code 21621 (c) 1 states:
(c) The council shall adopt district boundaries using the following criteria as set forth in the following order of priority:

(1) To the extent practicable, council districts shall be geographically contiguous. Areas that meet only at the points of adjoining corners are not contiguous. Areas that are separated by water and not connected by a bridge, tunnel, or regular ferry service are not contiguous.

--
Shalice Tilton, Sr. Consultant
National Demographics Corporation
714-308-0726
stilton@NDCresearch.com
www.NDCresearch.com

--
Shalice Tilton, Sr. Consultant
National Demographics Corporation
714-308-0726
stilton@NDCresearch.com
“I prefer to be true to myself, even at the hazard of incurring the ridicule of others, rather than to be false, and to incur my own abhorrence.” Frederick Douglass
Council Members,

After the multiple efforts of making the concerns of the TEAL Map obvious, I am concerned that the severity of our situation still does not resonate.

I made every effort possible to respect each Council Member and the position that you have been elected for throughout our engagements. Sadly, the dialog that took place both on and off record have turned not only disrespectful, but completely unacceptable. The finger pointing and name calling is childish. Calling the victim card and saying that everyone is against me is inappropriately applied. As well is suggesting that I, Xochitl Paderes, am out to get one Council Member.

The Teal Map, in any fashion or modification, was birthed and developed under the wrong intentions. Council Member Jobrack stepped out of bounds into the red zone of violation by noting his concerns of his district, his district number, drawing out candidates, and a series of other complaints that all circle political gerrymandering. Maybe Council Member Jobrack isn’t intelligent enough to value the information that was provided or maybe he really doesn’t understand the legal issues surrounding the TEAL map; but it does not mean we all need to fall into a situation where Stockton becomes liable. I call specifically on this Council Member due to his misrepresentation of developing the map independent of his Campaign Consultant. Council Member Jobrack appointed a constituent that was not active in the Redistricting Commission as they should have been. The Council Member had more than enough opportunity to present and participate in dialog during that period the Commission was meeting.

Since the development of the Teal map I have been provided more then enough content to show Council Members are reviewing the map lines to either draw in candidates or draw out their opponents. All of these side conversations are not only concerning, but what we must make our best effort of protecting our City from. Add in the dialog of why some Council Members do not want to draw in two more districts, we will not only find ourselves in a horrible battle of violating the Fair Maps Act but violating the civil rights of many communities.

We are in a Post-Covid state of repair. Your City needs unity and cohesion. We do not need a Council Member throwing a tantrum on a public forum as the self-inflicted wounds are licked. We have a community that is going to be walking into 2022 with a housing crash, unemployment, strained resources, and a possible new outbreak. Couple the impending hurdles our City will face with a lawsuit for political gerrymandering and diluting voting power, we are going to create our own Metropolitan crisis.
Our process getting started with the redistricting and our actions since, open us to many questionable actions that will be impossible to defend in court. I can’t find any other language to state that the FAIR MAPS ACT we are adhering to is a California Assembly Bill that clearly defines what “WE ARE EXPECTED TO DO” and “WHAT IS UNLAWFUL”.

With that said, this correspondence is to not only clearly state my position and concerns, but to ensure every Council Member is liable for acknowledging the warning and concerns should we be sued.

Review the maps with a rubric that scores key elements that reduce our liability. Which map best spreads the population evenly? Which map has the best range of the standard deviation? Which map applies the best balance of voting power to the demographics of Stockton? And, which maps were presented and developed by the Commission, not an Elected?

I recognize I am often referred to as rude, abrupt, or a crude name because of my straight-forward dialog. I agree, I am blunt, rude, crude, and anything else someone wants to call me if somehow I hurt their feelings. I rarely walk away from something I believe in. I take pride in not standing down when something is down wrong and when a voice needs to be heard. I take pride in the knowledge and research I gain to be confident in an area of discussion. I take pride in loyalty and honesty as well. If my attributes and characteristics set a higher standard for addressing the redistricting scandal that we have created, then I will take pride in that as well.

Respectfully I do ask that the Council not make me the “Enemy of the City” for voicing our flaws and errors. Should my request fall on deaf ears, or eyes, so be it. My efforts of attempt will at least be noted.

Respectfully,

Xochitl Paderes

--

ck

“I prefer to be true to myself, even at the hazard of incurring the ridicule of others, rather than to be false, and to incur my own abhorrence.” Frederick Douglass
Stockton Council Members,

This is a copy of the letter that was sent to MALDEF and the ACLU initially. Since Sunday I have sent it to a series of other civil rights organizations that are active in the process of representing Voter Rights Violations and participating in the filings throughout the nation to address political gerrymandering.

Council Member Sol Jobrack's arrogance regarding the development of the Teal Map is disheartening. His lack of admission to his Campaign Consultant's involvement and everything that has evolved around his dialog has created a compromising situation for us all. For any Council Member to endorse the Teal Map suggests to me that they are not only aware of his illegal actions, but endorse them.

Green Annex 2 or any other map is a better option. Should my district not have a direct Representative, I still have a Mayor that can represent the district and a collective council. If the Mayor and the collective Council cannot be trusted to make the best decisions for a district regardless of whether they reside in it or not, then I have bigger issues to address than just the redistricting violations of Council Member Sol Jobrack.

I have faith in a handful of you. Some of you, I throw caution to the wind and will hope for the best with this.

Xochitl Paderes

--

ck

“I prefer to be true to myself, even at the hazard of incurring the ridicule of others, rather than to be false, and to incur my own abhorrence.” Frederick Douglass
To: MEXICAN AMERICAN LEGAL DEFENSE AND EDUCATIONAL FUND (MALDEF)  
AND AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION (ACLU)  
From: XOCHITL PADERES, REDISTRICTING ADVISORY COMMISSION MEMBER  
RE: REDISTRICTING VIOLATION  

MALDEF and ACLU Representatives,  

As a member of the City of Stockton Citizens Redistricting Advisory Commission (RAC), I submit the following statement identifying a redistricting violation by Council Member Sol Jobrack of Stockton City Council.  

In a series of council meetings, the Stockton City Council was made aware of concerns regarding a map that was drafted by a Council Member after a public hearing on Oct 12, 2021. During the Oct 12th hearing, Council Member Jobrack voiced his concerns regarding his district number, his re-election, candidates being drawn out, and some other campaign concerns that were not relevant to the process of reviewing the redistricting map.  

Weeks following the meeting, Council Member Jobrack proceeded to draft a map with his campaign consultant to adjust his district to meet his re-election goals, draw in the concerned candidates, and meet the concerns of campaign issues previously discussed. Having been warned that these actions, discussions, and text messages all violated the Fair Maps Act, the Council Member pursued presenting the map to the council.
Currently the map, Teal Map 1 and Teal Map 2, are presented to the Council as a proposed option.

Although it could have been a viable option, the map was birthed under the wrong intentions and is drawn with the intent to violate the civil rights of the constituents of Stockton. The largest minority population, Hispanic, is negatively impacted by one Council Member rigging the districts lines so that he can stay in District 1 and so that he can determine who will run in the next election. This is the prime example of Political Gerrymandering. This is the entire reason why AB849 was created.

The California Fair Maps Act has multiple sections that are to protect the process that Council Member Sol Jobrack seems to feel willing to violate and invite the Federal Bureau of Investigation to look at. I ask that you do so as well. Please review Section 21601 (c)(2) noting communities of interest do not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates. Or look at section 21601 (d) where it states that the council shall not adopt council district boundaries for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against a political party.

The makeup of Council Member Sol Jobrack’s Teal Map 1 and Teal Map 2 run parallel to many of the pending cases of racial gerrymandering, affirmative gerrymandering, dilution of minority voting power, and unconstitutional partisan redistricting. I have asked the Stockton City Council to remove the map from its list of options, but my request seems to be challenged by a few Council Members who gain from the Teal map as well.

The Stockton City Council will be deciding on the map Tuesday December 14th. With that said, I have faith in the four (4) Council that have a greater degree of ethos that they will vote no on the Teal Map 1 and Teal Map 2. Should I be mistaken, I ask for your support in correcting this error of justice amongst our community and a violation to the Voting Rights Act.

Thank you in advance for your time reviewing my correspondence and I look forward to your response,
Xochitl Paderes
The current link to view the Interactive Review Map is https://ndcresarch.maps.arcgis.com/apps/View/index.html?appid=8e59c02b3da6487c8a82722935417bc

9:57 PM

10:23 PM
Monday, October 4, 2021

2 Reps did not show and one Rep worked on personal stuff and left early. No input at all.

Rep?

For tonight's redistricting... also noted that during our meeting.

Who didn't show?

Who did and worked on something else?

Lowry, Ralph, Alyssa and I. Lincoln showed but he was working on a case.

WTH???

What is more disturbing is the clerks office and attorney were not prepared or in a position to address any of the items previously spoken/requested

9:24 PM

9:27 PM

9:28 PM

9:29 PM

9:31 PM
You've got to be kidding me.

Watch the video. The contractor assumed we had information we didn't get. She also advised them about the website. There still is no link on the homepage. I told Lowery it's a shit show situation. The lack of organization looks horrible for us.

Watching now.

Tuesday, October 12, 2021

Was I supposed to speak during public comments

Did you put a card in?

No I was not asked too. I advised I was here to speak
Councilmember Paul Canepa Facebook with Sam Fant

4:29

Sam Fant
Active 8h ago

Facebook
You're friends on Facebook
Lives in Stockton, California

DEC 07, 2021, 3:53 PM

COMMUNITY ALERT

District 1 City Council mem...
209 Times

Like

Comment

Share
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Aa
District 1 City Council member Sol Jobrack is up for re-election next year. He is also the undisclosed creator of a new district map that will impact voting in Stockton for the next 10 years, including his own campaign for re-election. At least that’s what the records show.

Of greater concern, aside from the blatant conflict of interest, is the fact that his campaign consultant Scott Winn may have influenced that district map for political purposes, violating the intent of the very need for new districts as well as benefitting several other candidates who also employ Scott Winn to help them manipulate the public into voting for them.

Despite the fact that there is an actual commission of appointed community members who have worked to formulate maps for the Council to consider, Sol Jobrack, bypassed all of that with his own self serving map.

In addition at least two other candidates who are represented by Jobrack’s political consultant, who would have been ineligible to run for office next year with the maps recommended by the community, have now been drawn into the districts and the likely opponents have been drawn out.

Councilwoman Warmsley along with Vice-Mayor Fugazi expressed concern and surprise at the last Council meeting.
Councilwoman Warmsley along with Vice-Mayor Fugazi expressed concern and surprise at the last council meeting.

When reached for comment, Jobrack says Winn simply printed the map out when he asked him to because his personal computer wasn’t working. Evidence of those messages shows Jobrack also asked Winn what he thought about the map.

Emails from the City’s representative also show correspondence regarding his map was sent to Sol and Scott attended together.

Scott Winn, and his partner Jeff Acquistipace of 3am Communications, have been called out by us before for their relationship with their associate consultant Jake Tyler who publicly threatened to post nude photos of a female elected official if people didn’t stop calling him “a stalker”.

The voting to approve Sol’s map is on the City Council agenda for tomorrow December 7th. #Stockton #VotingRightsAct @aclu_norcal @aclu_nationwide @maldefian @lulac
4:30
Messenger

209 Times
Dec 6, 2021 · 🌊

The voting to approve Sol’s map is on the City Council agenda for tomorrow December 7th. #Stockton #VotingRightsAct @aclu_norcal @aclu_nationwide @maldefian @lulac
Can I apply for your district or do you have someone

Yes you can apply and no haven’t chose anybody

Ok

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: Tuesday, May 18, 2021

Contact: Connie Cochran, Community Relations Officer
(209) 957-8627 (o) / (209) 629-1251 (c) / connie.coehran@stocktonca.gov

Redistricting Advisory Commission Applications
Seeking Applicants to Fill Seven Positions

STOCKTON, Calif. – The City of Stockton is seeking applicants to fill seven positions on the Redistricting Advisory Commission. Appointments to this Commission are made by the Councilmembers of each Council District, and the Mayor will appoint a member at-large. The application deadline is June 14, 2021 at 5:30 p.m. The period of service commences immediately upon appointment and will continue until the objective of the Commission has been completed. Qualified applicants must reside in and be registered to vote in the Council District that they are appointed to represent for the duration of service on the Commission.

The Redistricting Advisory Commission serves as an advisory body to the City Council.
Our city redistricting has made no efforts to comply with the timeliness. FYI

Clerks office was not prepared for last night

This factor was never presented during our meeting

Thanks for showing up tonight

I am glad I went. It was new to
Wed, Oct 13, 4:37 AM
I am glad I went. It was new to me about the 2 years of no representation

Wed, Oct 13, 10:41 PM
Just got home can you call me tmrw

Tue, Nov 16, 6:12 PM
Pull the map. I did not realize how bad it looked after I did the research.

Tue, Nov 16, 10:40 PM
Kimberly did call me tmrw please

Wed, Nov 17, 5:58 AM
Call me when you get up

Thu, Nov 28, 7:04 AM
Did you see the flyer

I am reaching out regarding the review on the Teal Map tonight. I hope you are not voting for it. It is going to ruin the safety of your position as a Council Member.

Thank you for reaching out. I appreciate you.

With Tubbs in town and her campaigning for the Teal Map, I know you were associated... but not put us in a terrible situation.

I don’t think he’s in town and haven’t been asked to vote one way or the other. I received a text from Ernesto Gomis today and now you.

I’ve already been very vocal on how the Teal puts Sol in a difficult position and called Shalice this afternoon as well expressing my concern.

Thank you for the advocacy. We can make the right, but it will be our collective action is that determine or final outcome... lawsuit or no lawsuit.

Mon, Dec 13, 11:59 AM

Paul,

Are you supporting Teal?

Call when you get a chance.
I'll call you back.

10min and I can call you

Ok,

Sol,

You and I need to have a conversation asap.

Your ability to call and text others regarding my part on the teal map needs to be discussed.

I have not once disrespected you, but your choice to be rude last night and not acknowledge my hello is one step closer to me not giving any fucks about how I will treat you in public.

This is my one offer to clear the air.

I am happy to have a talk with you. Actually I would love to have coffee.
I am happy to have a talk with you. Actually I would love to have coffee with you. Honestly it was not my intention at all to make you feel as tho I disrespected you last night and I am truly sorry if I made you feel that way. I had so much on my mind going into that meeting that I was honestly zoning walking in last night. I will be honest with you in that I feel like you have been coming at me on this map thing when I 100% have only been trying to do the right thing. And as far as calling and texting others. As far as I can remember I have only talked to Motec about that. And again it's because I feel as tho the perception out there is I'm up to something when there is zero truth to that. But let's get together.

Sol,

You know me by now. If I wanted to go after you or not support your reelection I would tell you to your face and not hide it.

The map situation had nothing to do with you. We all got fucked and the
Sol,

You know me by now. If I wanted to go after you or not support your reelection I would tell you to your face and not hide it.

The map situation had nothing to do with you. We all got fucked and the amount of dialog that has since taken place has fucked us all even more.

Your campaign committee or alliances have loose lips or you have folks dropping a dime on side conversations... either way, your stand on Black last night did not make shit better.

The feedback on Black’s backdoor deals are not only unacceptable but bullshit.

He fucked you harder than me on the redistricting.

You can still make things right, but that is all on you.
Eliza says there is no discussion about the map today

No there's not. Not until December 7th I believe

The map was approved to move forward she said. I am going to stress the need of rescinding the map and going back to the table

I agree especially considering what we know. Will bring this up tonight

I will for sure. Mr. Black will surely hate me after tonight
Mon, Nov 29, 2:39 PM

Kim,

This is Xochitl. Given your redistricting situation, I hope you vote to remove Mr. Black

Tue, Dec 7, 2:55 PM

Kim,

I am reaching out regarding the review on the Teal map tonight. I hope you are not voting for it. It is going to ruin the safety of your position as a Council Member.

Thank you for reaching out. I appreciate your help.
Kim,

I am reaching out regarding the review on the Teal map tonight. I hope you are not voting for it. It is going to ruin the safety of your position as a Council Member.

Thank you for reaching out. I appreciate you
Council Members,

After the multiple efforts of making the concerns of the TEAL Map obvious, I am concerned that the severity of our situation still does not resonate.

I made every effort possible to respect each Council Member and the position that you have been elected for throughout our engagements. Sadly, the dialog that took place both on and off record have turned not only disrespectful, but completely unacceptable. The finger pointing and name calling is childish. Calling the victim card and saying that everyone is against me is inappropriately applied. As well is suggesting that I, Xochitl Paderes, am out to get one Council Member.

The Teal Map, in any fashion or modification, was birthed and developed under the wrong intentions. Council Member Jobrack stepped out of bounds into the red zone of violation by noting his concerns of his district, his district number, drawing out candidates, and a series of other complaints that all circle political gerrymandering. Maybe Council Member Jobrack isn’t intelligent enough to value the information that was provided or maybe he really doesn’t understand the legal issues surrounding the TEAL map; but it does not mean we all need to fall into a situation where Stockton becomes liable. I call specifically on this Council Member due to his misrepresentation of developing the map independent of his Campaign Consultant. Council Member Jobrack appointed a constituent that was not active in the Redistricting Commission as they should have been. The Council Member had more than enough opportunity to present and participate in dialog during that period the Commission was meeting.

Since the development of the Teal map I have been provided more then enough content to show Council Members are reviewing the map lines to either draw in candidates or draw out their opponents. All of these side conversations are not only concerning, but what we must make our best effort of protecting our City from. Add in the dialog of why some Council Members do not want to draw in two more districts, we will not only find ourselves in a horrible battle of violating the Fair Maps Act but violating the civil rights of many communities.

We are in a Post-Covid state of repair. Your City needs unity and cohesion. We do not need a Council Member throwing a tantrum on a public forum as the self-inflicted wounds are licked. We have a community that is going to be walking into 2022 with a housing crash, unemployment, strained resources, and a possible new outbreak. Couple the impending hurdles our City will face with a lawsuit for political gerrymandering and diluting voting power, we are going to create our own Metropolitan crisis.

Our process getting started with the redistricting and our actions since, open us to many questionable actions that will be impossible to defend in court. I can’t find any other language to state that the FAIR MAPS ACT we are adhering to is a California Assembly Bill that clearly defines what “WE ARE EXPECTED TO DO” and “WHAT IS UNLAWFUL”.

With that said, this correspondence is to not only clearly state my position and concerns, but to ensure every Council Member is liable for acknowledging the warning and concerns should we be sued.

Review the maps with a rubric that scores key elements that reduce our liability. Which map best spreads the population evenly? Which map has the best range of the standard deviation? Which map applies the best balance of
voting power to the demographics of Stockton? And, which maps were presented and developed by the Commission, not an Elected?

I recognize I am often referred to as rude, abrupt, or a crude name because of my straight-forward dialog. I agree, I am blunt, rude, crude, and anything else someone wants to call me if somehow I hurt their feelings. I rarely walk away from something I believe in. I take pride in not standing down when something is down wrong and when a voice needs to be heard. I take pride in the knowledge and research I gain to be confident in an area of discussion. I take pride in loyalty and honesty as well. If my attributes and characteristics set a higher standard for addressing the redistricting scandal that we have created, then I will take pride in that as well.

Respectfully I do ask that the Council not make me the “Enemy of the City” for voicing our flaws and errors. Should my request fall on deaf ears, or eyes, so be it. My efforts of attempt will at least be noted.

Respectfully,

Xochitl Paderes
Connie Cochran

From: TheFemaleMarine <xochitl.paderes@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, December 13, 2021 10:54 PM
Subject: [SUSPECTED SPAM] Notification
Attachments: RedistrictingLetter.pdf

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the City of Stockton. Do not click any links or open attachments if this is unsolicited email.

Stockton Council Members,

This is a copy of the letter that was sent to MALDEF and the ACLU initially. Since Sunday I have sent it to a series of other civil rights organizations that are active in the process of representing Voter Rights Violations and participating in the filings throughout the nation to address political gerrymandering.

Council Member Sol Jobrack's arrogance regarding the development of the Teal Map is disheartening. His lack of admission to his Campaign Consultant's involvement and everything that has evolved around his dialog has created a compromising situation for us all. For any Council Member to endorse the Teal Map suggests to me that they are not only aware of his illegal actions, but endorse them.

Green Annex 2 or any other map is a better option. Should my district not have a direct Representative, I still have a Mayor that can represent the district and a collective council. If the Mayor and the collective Council can not be trusted to make the best decisions for a district regardless of whether they reside in it or not, then I have bigger issues to address than just the redistricting violations of Council Member Sol Jobrack.

I have faith in a handful of you. Some of you, I throw caution to the wind and will hope for the best with this.

Xochitl Paderes
December 11, 2021

To: MEXICAN AMERICAN LEGAL DEFENSE AND EDUCATIONAL FUND (MALDEF)  
AND AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION (ACLU)

From: XOCHITL PADERES, REDISTRICTING ADVISORY COMMISSION MEMBER

RE: REDISTRICTING VIOLATION

MALDEF and ACLU Representatives,

As a member of the City of Stockton Citizens Redistricting Advisory Commission (RAC), I submit the following statement identifying a redistricting violation by Council Member Sol Jobrack of Stockton City Council.

In a series of council meetings, the Stockton City Council was made aware of concerns regarding a map that was drafted by a Council Member after a public hearing on Oct 12, 2021. During the Oct 12th hearing, Council Member Jobrack voiced his concerns regarding his district number, his re-election, candidates being drawn out, and some other campaign concerns that were not relevant to the process of reviewing the redistricting map.

Weeks following the meeting, Council Member Jobrack proceeded to draft a map with his campaign consultant to adjust his district to meet his re-election goals, draw in the concerned candidates, and meet the concerns of campaign issues previously discussed. Having been warned that these actions, discussions, and text messages all violated the Fair Maps Act, the Council Member pursued presenting the map to the council.
Currently the map, Teal Map 1 and Teal Map 2, are presented to the Council as a proposed option. Although it could have been a viable option, the map was birthed under the wrong intentions and is drawn with the intent to violate the civil rights of the constituents of Stockton. The largest minority population, Hispanic, is negatively impacted by one Council Member rigging the districts lines so that he can stay in District 1 and so that he can determine who will run in the next election. This is the prime example of Political Gerrymandering. This is the entire reason why AB849 was created.

The California Fair Maps Act has multiple sections that are to protect the process that Council Member Sol Jobrack seems to feel willing to violate and invite the Federal Bureau of Investigation to look at. I ask that you do so as well. Please review Section 21601 (c)(2) noting communities of interest do not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates. Or look at section 21601 (d) where it states that the council shall not adopt council district boundaries for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against a political party.

The makeup of Council Member Sol Jobrack’s Teal Map 1 and Teal Map 2 run parallel to many of the pending cases of racial gerrymandering, affirmative gerrymandering, dilution of minority voting power, and unconstitutional partisan redistricting. I have asked the Stockton City Council to remove the map from its list of options, but my request seems to be challenged by a few Council Members who gain from the Teal map as well.

The Stockton City Council will be deciding on the map Tuesday December 14th. With that said, I have faith in the four (4) Council that have a greater degree of ethos that they will vote no on the Teal Map 1 and Teal Map 2. Should I be mistaken, I ask for your support in correcting this error of justice amongst our community and a violation to the Voting Rights Act.

Thank you in advance for your time reviewing my correspondence and I look forward to your response,

Xochitl Paderes
Dear Florence Low,

Addressed to all council members

-----Original Message-----
From: TheFemaleMarine <xochitl.paderes@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, December 8, 2021 3:10 PM
Subject: [SUSPECTED SPAM] Redistricting concern

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the City of Stockton. Do not click any links or open attachments if this is unsolicited email.

Council Members,

After the multiple efforts of making the concerns of the TEAL Map obvious, I am concerned that the severity of our situation still does not resonate.

I made every effort possible to respect each Council Member and the position that you have been elected for throughout our engagements. Sadly, the dialog that took place both on and off record have turned not only disrespectful, but completely unacceptable. The finger pointing and name calling is childish. Calling the victim card and saying that everyone is against me is inappropriately applied. As well is suggesting that I, Xochitl Paderes, am out to get one Council Member.

The Teal Map, in any fashion or modification, was birthed and developed under the wrong intentions. Council Member Jobrack stepped out of bounds into the red zone of violation by noting his concerns of his district, his district number, drawing out candidates, and a series of other complaints that all circle political gerrymandering. Maybe Council Member Jobrack isn’t intelligent enough to value the information that was provided or maybe he really doesn’t understand the legal issues surrounding the TEAL map; but it does not mean we all need to fall into a situation where Stockton becomes liable. I call specifically on this Council Member due to his misrepresentation of developing the map independent of his Campaign Consultant. Council Member Jobrack appointed a constituent that was not active in the Redistricting Commission as they should have been. The Council Member had more than enough opportunity to present and participate in dialog during that period the Commission was meeting.

Since the development of the Teal map I have been provided more then enough content to show Council Members are reviewing the map lines to either draw in candidates or draw out their opponents. All of these side conversations are not only concerning, but what we must make our best effort of protecting our City from. Add in the dialog of why some Council Members do not want to draw in two more districts, we will not only find ourselves in a horrible battle of violating the Fair Maps Act but violating the civil rights of many communities.

We are in a Post-Covid state of repair. Your City needs unity and cohesion. We do not need a Council Member throwing a tantrum on a public forum as the self-inflicted wounds are licked. We have a community that is going to be walking into 2022 with a housing crash, unemployment, strained resources, and a possible new outbreak. Couple the impending hurdles our City will face with a lawsuit for political gerrymandering and diluting voting power, we are going to create our own Metropolitan crisis.

Sincerely,

Connie Cochran

From: District 2 <Dist2@stocktonca.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, December 14, 2021 12:27 PM
To: Florence Low
Subject: FW: [SUSPECTED SPAM] Redistricting concern
Our process getting started with the redistricting and our actions since, open us to many questionable actions that will be impossible to defend in court. I can't find any other language to state that the FAIR MAPS ACT we are adhering to is a California Assembly Bill that clearly defines what “WE ARE EXPECTED TO DO” and ‘WHAT IS UNLAWFUL”.

With that said, this correspondence is to not only clearly state my position and concerns, but to ensure every Council Member is liable for acknowledging the warning and concerns should we be sued.

Review the maps with a rubric that scores key elements that reduce our liability. Which map best spreads the population evenly? Which map has the best range of the standard deviation? Which map applies the best balance of voting power to the demographics of Stockton? And, which maps were presented and developed by the Commission, not an Elected?

I recognize I am often referred to as rude, abrupt, or a crude name because of my straight-forward dialog. I agree, I am blunt, rude, crude, and anything else someone wants to call me if somehow I hurt their feelings. I rarely walk away from something I believe in. I take pride in not standing down when something is down wrong and when a voice needs to be heard. I take pride in the knowledge and research I gain to be confident in an area of discussion. I take pride in loyalty and honesty as well. If my attributes and characteristics set a higher standard for addressing the redistricting scandal that we have created, then I will take pride in that as well.

Respectfully I do ask that the Council not make me the “Enemy of the City” for voicing our flaws and errors. Should my request fall on deaf ears, or eyes, so be it. My efforts of attempt will at least be noted.

Respectfully,

Xochitl Paderes
Addressed to all council members

-----Original Message-----
From: TheFemaleMarine <xochitl.paderes@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, December 13, 2021 10:54 PM
Subject: [SUSPECTED SPAM] Notification

CAUTION: This email originated from outside the City of Stockton. Do not click any links or open attachments if this is unsolicited email.

Stockton Council Members,

This is a copy of the letter that was sent to MALDEF and the ACLU initially. Since Sunday I have sent it to a series of other civil rights organizations that are active in the process of representing Voter Rights Violations and participating in the filings throughout the nation to address political gerrymandering.

Council Member Sol Jobrack's arrogance regarding the development of the Teal Map is disheartening. His lack of admission to his Campaign Consultant's involvement and everything that has evolved around his dialog has created a compromising situation for us all. For any Council Member to endorse the Teal Map suggests to me that they are not only aware of his illegal actions, but endorse them.

Green Annex 2 or any other map is a better option. Should my district not have a direct Representative, I still have a Mayor that can represent the district and a collective council. If the Mayor and the collective Council can not be trusted to make the best decisions for a district regardless of whether they reside in it or not, then I have bigger issues to address than just the redistricting violations of Council Member Sol Jobrack.

I have faith in a handful of you. Some of you, I throw caution to the wind and will hope for the best with this.

Xochitl Paderes